Reply to the Office action of October 9, 2007

## REMARKS

This paper is in response to the Office Action dated October 9, 2007. Claims 1 and 2 are amended hereby and claims 1 – 3 are in the application upon entry of this amendment. Entry of this amendment, reconsideration and reexamination of the above-identified application are respectfully requested.

Summarizing briefly the amendments to the claims:

- a. Support for the amendment of R = methyl or ethyl can be found, for example, on page 11, lines 29-30 of the application.
- R<sub>05</sub> has been limited to only hydrogen.
- c. Support for the amendment to R<sub>1</sub> as <u>-</u>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>, -CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>, -CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>, -CF<sub>2</sub>, -CH=CHCH<sub>2</sub>, -CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)- or -C=CCH<sub>2</sub>- can be found, for example, on page 11, lines 11-12.
- Basis for R<sub>4</sub> as trifluoromethyl, chlorodifluoromethyl or difluoromethyl can be found, for example, on page 15, line 18.
- e. X<sub>1</sub> has been limited to oxygen only.
- f. Support for R<sub>2</sub> as CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, propargyl, cyclopropylmethyl, benzyl, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> or CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub> can be found, for example, on page 11 lines 19-21.
- g. Claim 2 has been limited to recite a particularly preferred embodiment support for which can be found on page 11, lines 21 to 22.

Applicants respectfully traverse the § 112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 1 – 3, but submit that this rejection is now moot in view of the foregoing amendments to claims 1 - 2. It is submitted that the subject matter of the amended claims is properly enabled by the specification – and therefore that the requirement of U.S.C. 112 has been met. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

Appln. No. 10/547,840 Amendment Dated April 9, 2008 Reply to the Office action of October 9, 2007

In view of the foregoing amendments and arguments, a favorable reconsideration and a withdrawal of the § 112 rejection are respectfully requested. Applicants submit that the present claims are allowable over the cited art and respectfully request a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

USPTO Customer No. 26748 Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. Patent and Trademark Dept. 410 Swing Road Greensboro, NC 27409 (336) 632-7895

Date: April 9, 2008

/William A. Teoli, Jr./ William A. Teoli, Jr. Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 33,104